

ISSN : 2309-3234

TMSS MEDICAL COLLEGE JOURNAL

Volume 04, No. 01, January 2015



An Official Publication of TMSS Medical College, Bogra



TMSS Medical College Journal

January 2015

An Official Publication
of
TMSS Medical College, Bogra

TMSS Medical College Journal

Vol.3, No. 1, January 2015

An Official Publication of TMSS Medical College, Bogra, Bangladesh

Editorial Board

Chairperson : Prof. Dr. Most. Rebeca Khatoon
Editor-In-Chief : Prof. Dr. Md. Abdus Shukur
Executive Editor : Prof. Dr. Md. Azfarul Habib
Publication Editor : Dr. Md. Abdul Mazid
Finance Editor : Dr. Md. Matiur Rahman

Published by

Dr. Md. Abdul Mazid
on behalf of the TMSS Medical College

Graphic Designer

Md. Sirajul Islam
TMSS, Bogra

Members

Prof. Dr. M. A. Gafur
Prof. Dr. Md. Aynul Huq
Prof. Dr. Md. Shahjahan Ali Sarkar
Prof. Dr. Nur-A-Farhana Islam
Dr. Md. Azizar Rahman
Dr. Md. Sheik Abdul Muktaf
Dr. Md. Kamrul Islam

Printed by

TMSS Printing Press
Bogra, Bangladesh

Review Committee

Prof. Dr. Raghieb Ahsan
Prof. Dr. Md. Anup Rahman Chowdhury
Prof. Dr. Md. Abul Hossain Khan

Advisory Board

Prof. Dr. Hosne-Ara Begum
Prof. Dr. A.K.M Ahsan Habib
Prof. Dr. Syed Mainul Hasan
Prof. Dr. S.A.M. Israrul Bari
Prof. Dr. Md. Rafiqul Islam
Prof. Dr. Latifur Rahman
Prof. Dr. Abdul Gaffar
Prof. Dr. Hafiza Arzuman
Dr. A.K.M. Ahsan Habib
Dr. Md. Mostafa Alam

The TMSS Medical College Journal is a peer reviewed Journal. It is published two times a year, (January and July). It accepts original articles, review articles and case reports. Complimentary copies of the journal are sent to libraries of all medical and other relevant academic institutions in the country and selected institutions abroad.

While every effort is always made by the Editorial Board and the members of the Journal Committee to avoid inaccurate or misleading information appearing in the TMSS Medical College Journal, information within the individual article are the responsibility of its author(s). The TMSS Medical College Journal, its Editorial Board and Journal Committee accept no liability whatsoever for the consequences of any such inaccurate and misleading information, opinion or statement.

Address of Correspondence

Executive Editor, TMSS Medical College Journal
TMSS Medical College, Rangpur Road
Thengamara, Bogra, Bangladesh.
Phone: 051-61830, Fax : 051-61830
e-mail: editortmcjournal@gmail.com
Web: www.tmssmedicalcollege.com

Level of Accuracy of Capillary Blood Glucose by Glucometer in Comparison to Standard Venous Blood Glucose by Analyzer.

Siddique MAB^{1*}, Salam MA² Rashid MM², Akhter S⁴, Habib MA⁵, Islam MN⁶

1. Dr. Md. Abu Bakar Siddique, Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine, Shaheed Ziaur Rahman Medical College, Bogra.

2. Dr. Md. Abdus Salam, Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine, Shaheed Ziaur Rahman Medical College, Bogra.

3. Dr. Md. Mamunur Rashid, Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine, Shaheed Ziaur Rahman Medical College, Bogra.

4. Dr. Shamima Akhtar, Assistant Professor, Department of Pathology, TMSS medical College, Thengamara, Bogra.

5. Dr. Md. Azfarul Habib, Professor & Head of Department of Community Medicine, TMSS medical College, Thengamara, Bogra.

6. Dr. Md. Nurul Islam, Junior Consultant, ENT, Upazilla Health Complex, Gabtoli, Bogra.

* Corresponding Author

Abstract

The accuracy of blood glucose estimation using capillary blood with glucometers has been questioned. This study was done to determine the mean difference and correlation between capillary blood glucose estimation with glucometer in comparison to laboratory blood glucose analysis by analyzer in outdoor patients. Blood glucose levels were synchronously analyzed using a glucometer on capillary blood and in venous sample using a laboratory based multichannel analyzer. Mean difference and correlation coefficients were determined. A total of 126 subjects (aged 13-88 years) were enrolled, with 100% data capture. The mean laboratory glucose was 7.8 mmol/l. The mean capillary blood glucose was 8.54 mmol/l (mean difference compared with mean laboratory glucose 0.7 mmol/l; 95% confidence interval 0.3 to 0.9). The mean venous derived blood glucometer glucose was 7.14 mmol/l (mean difference compared with mean laboratory glucose 0.66 mmol/l; 95% CI 0.6 to 1.2). The correlation coefficient for the laboratory blood glucose versus the capillary blood glucometer glucose was 0.99 mmol/l ($p < 0.001$). The correlation coefficient for the laboratory blood glucose and the venous blood glucometer glucose was 0.97 ($p < 0.001$). Variation occurred between the glucometer and the laboratory blood glucose results. So it was concluded that there is a significant difference in the blood glucose results analyzed on a bedside glucometer when the samples are taken from capillary blood and laboratory analyzer when samples are taken from venous blood. Although good correlation is the norm between venous and capillary derived samples, caution must be exercised in accepting the results as equivalent or using either as substitutes for a laboratory blood glucose result.

Key words: Capillary blood glucose, venous blood glucose.

Introduction

Blood sugar estimation is a commonly performed practice in the diabetic as well as non-diabetic patients. Handheld blood glucose meters are easily available, simple & cost effective way to check blood sugar in general population. It is commonly used for home monitoring of blood glucose in diabetic patients as well as to screen diabetes in people not known to be diabetic. But the accuracy of blood glucose estimation using venous derived blood with glucometers designed for capillary sample testing has been questioned.¹ In addition, concern has also been raised about the accuracy of capillary blood glucose estimation in the face of systemic illness, and it has been suggested that in such patients, venous sampling may be more accurate.² The aim of this study was to determine the mean differences and correlation

of capillary and venous bedside glucose estimation in comparison with laboratory blood glucose analysis.

Materials and Methods

Approval was gained from the relevant hospital medical ethics committee. Patients aged >13 years attending the outpatient department, were eligible for inclusion in the study. All subjects were required to give written consent. Patients were approached for inclusion using a random pattern sample. This sampling method used sequential patients presenting to OPD.

After written consent was obtained, 5 ml of venous blood were withdrawn & sample was sent to the clinical biochemistry laboratory in a lithium heparin tube for whole blood glucose estimation

using a Multichannel Analyzer (Spectra 400, Anatron, Germany). A bedside glucometer analysis was performed simultaneously by capillary finger prick. The bedside blood glucose estimation was performed with a Glucometer (GlucoLab, Infopia, Korea) by an accredited registered laboratory technician. A single glucometer calibrated and validated following the manufacturer's guidelines was used for all subjects enrolled in the study.⁴ All capillary & venous glucose estimations were recorded on a standardized data sheet.

Statistical analysis was performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software (version 20.0). The mean value for the two groups, the mean difference between groups, and the 95% confidence intervals were determined. Student's t test was used to measure the statistical significance of the mean differences, and Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to determine the degree of correlation of the capillary glucose and venous glucose with the laboratory glucose result. As the procedure removes most of the variation between subjects and leaves the measurement error, it is expected that the differences will be normally distributed. When there is a high level of agreement, the mean difference will be close to zero, and the confidence intervals for the difference will be narrow.⁵ A power calculation was performed to estimate the required sample size.

Results

There were 126 patients enrolled in the study. No patient declined to participate in the study, and there was complete data capture. There was a male: female ratio of 2:3, and the mean age (+SD) of the subjects was 40.4 (+16.8) years. The mean laboratory blood glucose (+SD) was 7.8 (+3.1) mmol/l, and the mean capillary blood glucose (+SD) was 8.54 (+3.9) mmol/l, giving a statistically significant difference ($p < 0.001$) between the mean values for the laboratory and capillary glucose samples (0.6 mmol/l; 95%

confidence interval (CI) 0.3 to 0.9). The mean venous derived blood glucose (+SD) was 7.14 (+3.5) mmol/l. There was a statistically significant difference ($p < 0.001$) between the mean values for the laboratory and venous derived glucometer tested blood glucose (0.66 mmol/l; 95% CI 0.6 to 1.2). The mean differences (+SD) between capillary blood glucose (by glucometer) & venous blood glucose (by multichannel analyzer) was 1.39 (+1.2) mmol/l.

Table I: Age distribution of the study patients (n=126)

Age in years	Number of patients	Percentage
≤ 20	10	7.9
21-40	40	31.6
41-60	67	53.1
>60	9	7.1

Table II: Sex distribution of the study patients (n=126)

Sex	Number of patients	Percentage
Male	52	41.2
Female	76	60.3

Table III: Capillary vs Venous glucose comparison (n=126)

Patients	Venous blood glucose (Mean $\pm$ SD)	Capillary blood glucose (Mean $\pm$ SD)	Average difference
Non-diabetic	5.85 $\pm$ 1.08	7.08 $\pm$ 1.44	1.29 $\pm$ 1.06
Diabetic	13.39 $\pm$ 4.5	14.16 $\pm$ 4.67	1.91 $\pm$ 1.69
All (Diabetic+Nondiabetic)	7.14 $\pm$ 3.55	8.54 $\pm$ 3.9	1.39 $\pm$ 1.20

Discussion

Use of blood glucose estimation using glucometer designed for capillary blood samples enables rapid treatment decisions during emergency treatment. The procedure has the advantages of: not requiring a venepuncture, thereby minimizing patient discomfort; decreasing the risks to staff from additional needlestick exposures.⁶ It is important, however, that the venous blood glucose measurement is accurate to avoid failure to treat underlying hypoglycaemia or placing the patient at risk for potential neurological complications from the administration of 50% dextrose for erroneous hypoglycaemia in the presence of cerebral ischaemia and cardiac arrest.⁷

There is confusing evidence in the current literature as to whether capillary or venous blood glucose measurements are more accurate. In one study of healthy volunteers, it was concluded that there was a poor correlation between capillary and venous blood glucose estimations using glucometers designed for capillary samples.¹ However, the study did not use a laboratory blood glucose measurement or consider the potential interaction of acute illness on blood sugar estimation. Two studies of critically ill patients found that the venous derived bedside glucose estimations were more accurate than capillary derived samples.^{8,9}

A statistically significant difference did occur between the capillary and venous bedside blood glucose estimates but such a difference (1.1 mmol/l) may not be clinically significant in routine practice. This supports the view that venous derived bedside glucometer blood glucose measurements may be used in place of capillary derived specimens in the management of non-critically ill patients. However, a degree of caution should be exercised in the interpretation of bedside glucometer measurements as they may not be sufficiently accurate to replace laboratory blood glucose results.

There are a number of limitations to the study. The number of patients included in the study was

comparatively small, but was guided by a power study. The study was limited to non-critically ill patients and included no hypoglycaemic patients. Only twelve cases of hyperglycaemia were included. The narrow range of blood glucose levels present in the subjects enrolled in the study and the wide range of presenting conditions meant that subgroup analysis could not be performed meaningfully. It is therefore not possible to make conclusions about the accuracy of venous derived blood glucometer estimates in the presence of severe illness or blood glucose levels outside the normal range. Further study will be required to determine the accuracy of blood glucometer analysis in these situations.

Conclusion

Venous glucose estimation can be used with some degree of confidence in the mid ranges of blood glucose measurements as it correlates well with both capillary derived blood glucometer estimations and laboratory blood glucose estimations. However, significant outlying results can do occur, although their true clinical relevance is as yet undetermined. It is recommended that laboratory blood glucose should still be performed if the venous bedside estimation is at the extremes of the glucose range or the results are likely to significantly influence clinical management.

Competing interests

None declared.

References

1. Funk DI, Chan L, Lutz N, et al. Comparison of capillary and venous glucose measurements in healthy volunteers. *Prehosp Emerg Care*. 2001; vol. 5:p275-7.
2. Atkin SH, Dasmahaptra A, Jaker MA, et al. Fingertick glucose determination in shock. *Ann Intern Med*. 1991; vol. 114:p1020-4.
3. Australasian College of Emergency Medicine. *Aust Triage Scale Emerg Med*. 2002; vol14:p335-6.

4. Abbott Laboratories. Medisense Precision Plus blood glucose monitor user manual. Bedford, MA, USA: Abbott Laboratories, 2000.
5. Bland M, Altman G. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between methods of clinical measurement. *Lancet*.1986;p307-10.
6. McInnes E, Cohen H. Spurious hyperglycaemia- a hazard of finger prick blood glucose estimation. *Arch Emerg Med*.1984; vol1:p97-100.
7. Browning RG, Olsen DW, Stueven HA, et al. 50% dextrose-Antidote or poison? *Ann Emerg Med*.1990; vol19:p683-7.
8. Sylvian HF, Pokorny ME, English SM, et al. Accuracy of fingerprick glucose values in shock patients. *Amer J Critl Care*.1995; vol.4:p44-8.
9. Thomas AG, Gough JE, Benson N, et al. Accuracy of fingerstick glucose determination in patients receiving CPR. *South Med J*.1994; vol87:p1072-5.